# IN THE HIGH COURT OF MALAWI 

## PRINCIPAL REGISTRY

CIVIL CAUSE NUMBER 739/92
BETWEEN :

LEONARD KATENGEZA. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . PLATNTTFF
and

DRTGHRON CHIKUSE. .......................... DEFENDANT
CORAM: JANE MAYEMU ANSAH (MRS) DEPUTY REGISTRAR
Chuzumila of counsel for the plaintiff

## RULING

This a summons to set aside juagement. Mr Msungama acting for the defendant argued that the judgement is irregular. In the alternative he says that if the judgement is regular then he has a good defence. Mr Chuzumila for the plaintiff says that the judgement was regular.

The basis of the irregularity, details of which are given in the affidavit in support of the summon is that there was no proper or valid service on the defendant. Service was purportea to be done by post but the envelop that containea the writ of summons was addressea to a Mr us Chikuse when the defendant's first name is Erighton therefore if only the intial had been used it would have been $E$ and not $J$. Although the envelop was sent to the defendant's collect address and the defendant collected it from the post office, because of the error, the envelop was opened on after juagement had already been obtained. The opening, in fact was prompted by the receipt of a judgement indefault. The error is conceded by the plaintff's counsel. Despite this plaintiff's counsel still argues that the judgement was regular in that the defendant kept the envelop without returning it to the sender therefore he must have opened it. I believe to go further and read between the lines as to whether the letter was opened or not would only lead to assumptions. I therefore find that the letter was opened after judgement in default was entered therefore the judgement is irregular. I set it aside with costs to the defenajant.

Made in Chambers on l5th day of October 1992

